#### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

NETWORK-1 SECURITY SOLUTIONS,	§	
INC., a Delaware corporation,	§	
_	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
v.	§	NO. 6:08-CV-30-LED
	§	
CISCO SYSTEMS, INC., a California	§	
corporation; CISCO-LINKSYS, L.L.C., a	§	
California Limited Liability Company;	§	
ADTRAN, INC., a Delaware corporation;	§	
ENTERASYS NETWORKS, INC., a	§	
Delaware corporation; EXTREME	§	
NETWORKS, INC., a Delaware	§	
corporation; FOUNDRY NETWORKS,	§	
INC., a Delaware corporation; NETGEAR,	§	
INC., a Delaware corporation; 3COM	§	
CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation;	§	
-	§	
Defendants.	§	

#### **DEFENDANTS' PROPOSED VERDICT FORM**

#### **VERDICT FORM**

## **INVALIDITY**

1.	Have the Defendants proved, by clear and convincing evidence, that any of the claims of
the '93	30 patent are <u>invalid</u> as anticipated by the prior art? ("Yes" is a finding for the Defendants.
"No" i	s a finding for Network-1.)
	Claim 6: Yes No
	Claim 9: Yes No
2.	Have the Defendants proved, by clear and convincing evidence, that any of the claims of
the '93	30 patent are <u>invalid</u> for obviousness in view of the prior art? ("Yes" is a finding for the
Defend	dants. "No" is a finding for Network-1.)
	Claim 6: Yes No
	Claim 9: Yes No
3.	Have the Defendants proved, by clear and convincing evidence, that any of the claims of
the '93	30 patent are <u>invalid</u> for failing to name the correct inventors? ("Yes" is a finding for the
Defend	dants. "No" is a finding for Network-1.)
	Claim 6: Yes No
	Claim 9: Yes No
4.	Have the Defendants proved, by clear and convincing evidence, that any of the claims of
the '93	30 patent are <u>invalid</u> for lack of an adequate written description? ("Yes" is a finding for

the Defendants. "No" is a finding for Network-1.)

Claim 6: Yes No
Claim 9: Yes No
5. Have the Defendants proved, by clear and convincing evidence, that any of the claims of
the '930 patent are <u>invalid</u> as not enabled? ("Yes" is a finding for the Defendants. "No" is a
finding for Network-1.)
Claim 6: Yes No
Claim 9: Yes No
6. Have the Defendants proved, by clear and convincing evidence, that any of the claims of
the '930 patent are <u>invalid</u> for failure to describe the best mode of carrying out the invention?
("Yes" is a finding for the Defendants. "No" is a finding for Network-1.)
Claim 6: Yes No
Claim 9: Yes No
If you found that both claims 6 and 9 of the '930 patent are invalid ("Yes" to Question 1, 2, 3,
4, 5, or 6), stop here and sign the last page of the verdict form. Otherwise, continue to
Question 7.

#### **EXHAUSTION**

7. Have the Defendants proved, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Network-1's patent rights have been exhausted with respect to those products that incorporate a

Case 6:08-cv-00030-LED Document 363-2 Filed 05/27/10 Page 4 of 19

Microsemi/PowerDsi	ine PoE chip?	("Yes" is a finding for these Defendants.	"No" is a finding
for Network-1.)			
Yes	No		

## CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. ("Cisco")

#### **INFRINGEMENT**

Question 11A.

8A.	Has Network-1 proved, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Cisco has directly
infring	ged the '930 patent? ("Yes" is a finding for Network-1. "No" is a finding for Cisco.)
	Claim 6: Yes No
	Claim 9: Yes No
8B.	Has Network-1 proved, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Cisco has actively
о <b>D</b> .	Thas Network-1 proved, by a preponderance of the evidence, that elseo has actively
induce	ed others to infringe the '930 patent? ("Yes" is a finding for Network-1. "No" is a finding
for Cis	sco.)
	Claim 6: Yes No
	Claim 9: Yes No
8C.	Has Network-1 proved, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Cisco has contributed to
oc.	Thas Network-1 proved, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Cisco has contributed to
the inf	Fringement of the '930 patent by others? ("Yes" is a finding for Network-1. "No" is a
finding	g for Cisco.)
	Claim 6: Yes No
	Claim 9: Yes No
If you	answered "Yes" to Question 8A, 8B, or 8C, answer Question 8D. Otherwise, skip to

# CISCO-LINKSYS, L.L.C. ("Linksys")

If you answered "Yes" to Question 7, skip to Question 14A. Otherwise, continue to Question 11A.

INFR	INGEMENT					
11A.	Has Network	-1 proved, by a p	preponderance of	of the evidence, that	Linksys has directly	
infring	ged the '930 pa	tent? ("Yes" is a	a finding for No	etwork-1. "No" is a	finding for Linksys.	)
	Claim 6:	Yes	No			
	Claim 9:	Yes	No			
11B.	Has Network	-1 proved, by a p	preponderance of	of the evidence, that	Linksys has actively	7
induce	ed others to infi	ringe the '930 pa	ntent? ("Yes" is	a finding for Netwo	ork-1. "No" is a find	ling
for Li	nksys.)					
	Claim 6:	Yes	No			
	Claim 9:	Yes	No			
11C.	Has Network	-1 proved, by a p	oreponderance of	of the evidence, that	Linksys has contribu	ıted
to the	infringement o	f the '930 patent	by others? ("	Yes" is a finding for	Network-1. "No" is	a
findin	g for Linksys.)					
	Claim 6:	Yes	No			
	Claim 9:	Yes	No			

If you answered "Yes" to Question 11A, 11B, or 11C, answer Question 11D. Otherwise, skip
to Question 14A.
11D. Has Network-1 proved, by clear and convincing evidence, that Linksys's infringement of
the '930 patent was willful? ("Yes" is a finding for Network-1. "No" is a finding for Linksys.)
Yes No
If you found that any claim of the '930 patent is both infringed ("Yes" to Question 11A, 11B,
or 11C) and not invalid ("No" to Questions 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6), answer Questions 12-13.
Otherwise, skip to Question 14A.
<u>DAMAGES</u>
12. To determine when the damages period begins, on which <u>date</u> did Linksys both infringe
the '930 patent and receive actual notice of its alleged infringement of the '930 patent? Write in
the date here:
13. What total amount of damages, if any, has Network-1 proved it is entitled to from
Linksys? Write in your answer, which should be a dollar amount, here:

## ADTRAN, INC. ("Adtran")

## **INFRINGEMENT**

14A.	Has Network-	1 proved, by	a prepon	nderance of the evidence, that Adtran has directly
infring	ged the '930 pat	ent? ("Yes"	'is a findi	ing for Network-1. "No" is a finding for Adtran.)
	Claim 6:	Yes		No
	Claim 9:	Yes		No
14B.	Has Network-	1 proved, by	a prepon	nderance of the evidence, that Adtran has actively
induce	ed others to infr	inge the '930	o patent?	("Yes" is a finding for Network-1. "No" is a finding
for Ad	tran.)			
	Claim 6:	Yes		No
	Claim 9:	Yes		No
14C.	Has Network-	1 proved, by	a prepon	nderance of the evidence, that Adtran has contributed
to the	infringement of	the '930 par	tent by of	thers? ("Yes" is a finding for Network-1. "No" is a
finding	g for Adtran.)			
	Claim 6:	Yes		No
	Claim 9:	Yes		No

If you answered "Yes" to Question 14A, 14B, or 14C, answer Question 14D. Otherwise, skip to Question 17A.

14D. Has Network-1 proved, by clear and convincing evidence, that Adtran's infringement of
the '930 patent was willful? ("Yes" is a finding for Network-1. "No" is a finding for Adtran.)
Yes No
If you found that any claim of the '930 patent is both infringed ("Yes" to Question 14A, 14B,
or 14C) and not invalid ("No" to Questions 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6), answer Questions 15-16.
Otherwise, skip to Question 17A.
<u>DAMAGES</u>
15. To determine when the damages period begins, on which <u>date</u> did Adtran both infringe
the '930 patent and receive actual notice of its alleged infringement of the '930 patent? Write in
the date here:
16. What total amount of damages, if any, has Network-1 proved it is entitled to from
Adtran? Write in your answer, which should be a dollar amount, here:

## ENTERASYS NETWORKS, INC. ("Enterasys")

## **INFRINGEMENT**

17A.	Has Network	-1 proved, by a	preponderance of the evi-	dence, that Enterasys has directly
infring	ged the '930 pa	atent? ("Yes" is	a finding for Network-1.	"No" is a finding for Enterasys.)
	Claim 6:	Yes	No	
	Claim 9:	Yes	No	
17B.	Has Network	-1 proved, by a	preponderance of the evi-	dence, that Enterasys has induced
others	to infringe the	'930 patent? (	'Yes" is a finding for Net	work-1. "No" is a finding for
Entera	sys.)			
	Claim 6:	Yes	No	
	Claim 9:	Yes	No	
17C.	Has Network	-1 proved, by a	preponderance of the evi-	dence, that Enterasys has
contrib	outed to the inf	fringement of the	e '930 patent by others?	("Yes" is a finding for Network-1
"No" i	s a finding for	Enterasys.)		
	Claim 6:	Yes	No	
	Claim 9:	Yes	No	

If you answered "Yes" to Question 17A, 17B, or 17C, answer Question 17D. Otherwise, skip to Question 20A.

17D. Has Network-1 proved, by clear and convincing evidence, that Enterasys's infringement
of the '930 patent was willful? ("Yes" is a finding for Network-1. "No" is a finding for
Enterasys.)
Yes No
If you found that any claim of the '930 patent is both infringed ("Yes" to Question 17A, 17B,
or 17C) and not invalid ("No" to Questions 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6), answer Questions 18-19.
Otherwise, skip to Question 20A.
<u>DAMAGES</u>
18. To determine when the damages period begins, on which <u>date</u> did Enterasys both infringe
the '930 patent and receive actual notice of its alleged infringement of the '930 patent? Write in
the date here:
If you answered "Yes" to Question 7, answer Question 19A. Otherwise, skip to Question 19B.
19A. Excluding any Enterasys products that incorporate a Microsemi/PowerDsine PoE chip,
what total amount of damages, if any, has Network-1 proved it is entitled to from Enterasys?
Write in your answer, which should be a dollar amount, here:
If you answered Question 19A, skip to Question 20.
19B. What total amount of damages, if any, has Network-1 proved it is entitled to from
Enterasys? Write in your answer, which should be a dollar amount, here:

## **EXTREME NETWORKS, INC. ("Extreme")**

If you answered "Yes" to Question 7, skip to Question 23A. Otherwise, continue to Question 20A.

INFR	INGEMENT
20A.	Has Network-1 proved, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Extreme has directly
infring	ged the '930 patent? ("Yes" is a finding for Network-1. "No" is a finding for Extreme.)
	Claim 6: Yes No
	Claim 9: Yes No
20B.	Has Network-1 proved, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Extreme has actively
induce	ed others to infringe the '930 patent? ("Yes" is a finding for Network-1. "No" is a finding
for Ex	treme.)
	Claim 6: Yes No
	Claim 9: Yes No
20C.	Has Network-1 proved, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Extreme has contributed
to the	infringement of the '930 patent by others? ("Yes" is a finding for Network-1. "No" is a
findin	g for Extreme.)
	Claim 6: Yes No
	Claim 9: Yes No

If you answered "Yes" to Question 20A, 20B, or 20C, answer Question 20D. Otherwise, skip			
to Question 23A.			
20D.	Has Network-1 proved, by clear and convincing evidence, that Extreme's infringement of		
the '930	patent was willful? ("Yes" is a finding for Network-1. "No" is a finding for Extreme.)		
	Yes No		
If you f	Found that any claim of the '930 patent is both infringed ("Yes" to Question 20A, 20B,		
<u>or</u> 20C)	and not invalid ("No" to Questions 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6), answer Questions 21-22.		
Otherw	ise, skip to Question 23A.		
<b>DAMA</b>	<u>GES</u>		
21.	To determine when the damages period begins, on which <u>date</u> did Extreme both infringe		
the '930	patent and receive actual notice of its alleged infringement of the '930 patent? Write in		
the date	e here:		
22.	What total amount of damages, if any, has Network-1 proved it is entitled to from		
Extrem	e? Write in your answer, which should be a dollar amount, here:		

## FOUNDRY NETWORKS, INC. ("Foundry")

## **INFRINGEMENT**

23A.	Has Network-1 proved, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Foundry has directly
infring	ged the '930 patent? ("Yes" is a finding for Network-1. "No" is a finding for Foundry.)
	Claim 6: Yes No
	Claim 9: Yes No
23B.	Has Network-1 proved, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Foundry has actively
induce	ed others to infringe the '930 patent? ("Yes" is a finding for Network-1. "No" is a finding
for Fo	undry.)
	Claim 6: Yes No
	Claim 9: Yes No
23C.	Has Network-1 proved, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Foundry has contributed
to the	infringement of the '930 patent by others? ("Yes" is a finding for Network-1. "No" is a
findin	g for Foundry.)
	Claim 6: Yes No
	Claim 9: Yes No

If you answered "Yes" to Question 23A, 23B, or 23C, answer Question 23D. Otherwise, skip to Question 26A.

D. Has Network-1 proved, by clear and convincing evidence, that Foundry's infringement of		
the '930 patent was willful? ("Yes" is a finding for Network-1. "No" is a finding for Foundry.)		
Yes No		
If you found that any claim of the '930 patent is both infringed ("Yes" to Question 23A, 23B,		
or 23C) and not invalid ("No" to Questions 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6), answer Questions 24-25.		
Otherwise, skip to Question 26A.		
<u>DAMAGES</u>		
24. To determine when the damages period begins, on which <u>date</u> did Foundry both infringe		
the '930 patent and receive actual notice of its alleged infringement of the '930 patent? Write in		
the date here:		
If you answered "Yes" to Question 7, answer Question 25A. Otherwise, skip to Question 25B.		
25A. Excluding any Foundry products that incorporate a Microsemi/PowerDsine PoE chip,		
what total amount of damages, if any, has Network-1 proved it is entitled to from Foundry?		
Write in your answer, which should be a dollar amount, here:		
If you answered Question 25A, skip to Question 26.		
25B. What total amount of damages, if any, has Network-1 proved it is entitled to from		
Foundry? Write in your answer which should be a dollar amount here:		

#### 3COM CORPORATION ("3COM")

#### **INFRINGEMENT**

26A.	Has Network-1 proved, by a preponderance of the evidence, that 3COM has directly		
infring	ged the '930 patent? ("Yes" is a finding for Network-1. "No" is a finding for 3COM.)		
	Claim 6: Yes No		
	Claim 9: Yes No		
26B.	Has Network-1 proved, by a preponderance of the evidence, that 3COM has actively		
induce	d others to infringe the '930 patent? ("Yes" is a finding for Network-1. "No" is a finding		
for 3C	OM.)		
	Claim 6: Yes No		
	Claim 9: Yes No		
26C.	Has Network-1 proved, by a preponderance of the evidence, that 3COM has contributed		
to the i	infringement of the '930 patent by others? ("Yes" is a finding for Network-1. "No" is a		
finding	g for 3COM.)		
	Claim 6: Yes No		
	Claim 9: Yes No		

If you answered "Yes" to Question 26A, 26B, or 26C, answer Question 26D. Otherwise, stop here and sign the last page of the verdict form.

26D. 1	Has Network-1 proved, by clear and convincing evidence, that 3COM's infringement of
the '930	patent was willful? ("Yes" is a finding for Network-1. "No" is a finding for 3COM.)
-	Yes No
If you fo	ound that any claim of the '930 patent is both infringed ("Yes" to Question 26A, 26B,
<u>or</u> 26C)	and not invalid ("No" to Questions 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6), answer Questions 27-28.
Otherwi	ise, stop here and sign the last page of the verdict form.
<u>DAMA</u>	<u>GES</u>
27.	To determine when the damages period begins, on which date did 3COM both infringe
the '930	patent and receive actual notice of its alleged infringement of the '930 patent? Write in
the date	here:
If you a	nswered "Yes" to Question 7, answer Question 28A. Otherwise, skip to Question 28B.
28A. I	Excluding any 3COM products that incorporate a Microsemi/PowerDsine PoE chip, what
total am	ount of damages, if any, has Network-1 proved it is entitled to from 3COM? Write in
your ans	swer, which should be a dollar amount, here:
If you a	inswered Question $28A$ , stop here and sign the last page of the verdict form.
28B. \	What total amount of damages, if any, has Network-1 proved it is entitled to from
3COM?	Write in your answer, which should be a dollar amount, here:

<u>SIGNATURE</u>	
DATE	FOREPERSON